

10 Station Road. This development was to be named Cranford Close. Of the application to fell four trees, the request to remove the Lawson cypress had been withdrawn and E.R.Y.C. had refused consent to fell the black pine. The situation regarding the two smaller trees was not known.

11 Nunburnholme Avenue. The application for outline planning permission to build four houses at the rear and to alter the access via Elmtree Avenue had been refused. The three main reasons, supported by policies, were that the scale, layout and appearance of the proposed development would detract from the character of the Parkfield Conservation Area, that it was located in this Conservation Area, and that it would overdominate the adjoining gardens.

17-19 High Street. This new application involved the demolition of the existing shops and dwelling and the erection of three new dwellings. It was agreed that KL would send comments to the effect that since the village was 'full', any new developments on prime sites should be either affordable housing or accommodation suitable for the elderly, both of which were badly needed. Also, being in the Conservation Area, any designs should be in sympathy with adjacent buildings.

5. Review of Village Trusts

BB reported that all the identified Trust documents had been transcribed, and then verified by Peter Greenfield. The next steps were to find out whether there were any others, to agree a standard format, and to collate them.

6. Village Signs

DN had obtained costing details from the Kirkella Preservation Society for the provision of decorative signs at the entrances to the village, and asked the Committee whether they would be in favour of erecting them in Ferriby. The purpose would be to give the village a lift, rather than simply to point out its presence. BB felt that this would enhance the village, and would provide an opportunity to include Le Pellerin once the twinning had been formalised. BB would seek approval from the Parish Council, and in the meantime DN would investigate the possibility of obtaining a grant.

7. Correspondence

7.1 Ings Fields. BB had sent a letter to Steve Devey in response to Mr. Roberts' letter of 30th October, advising him of the Parish Council's decision not to take responsibility for the Fields and requesting information about the legal and financial position were the Conservation Society to form a Trust to oversee the area, provided the owner was agreeable. Pat Lambert had also sent a letter on behalf of the Parish Council in which she said that the Council would continue to support the protection of the *carex divisa*.

7.2 East Riding of Yorkshire Biodiversity Action Plan. Julie Abraham had received an e-mail from the North and East Yorkshire Ecological Data Centre about a survey which was currently being undertaken in the county to identify areas which should be designated as local wildlife sites. This included the Ings Fields and Long Plantation, and would eventually lead to the development of an East Riding of Yorkshire Biodiversity Action Plan.

7.3 Treasures of the East Riding. The Society had received a letter from the Curator of the East Riding Museums Service about a funding application for a project to support local volunteer heritage groups. The Secretary was asked to advise the Curator that we should wish to be involved, were the grant to be awarded.

7.4 Hull Civic Society Newsletter. The January issue was provided for circulation around the committee.

8. Any other Business

There was no other business.

9. Date and Time of next Meeting

The next meeting of the Committee would be held on Thursday, 10th April 2008, at 10.30 a.m. at 15 The Triangle.

Margaret Swindin
8th March, 2008